

Optimal t -pebbling on paths and cycles

XIA Zhengjiang, PAN Yongliang, XU Junming

(School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China)

Abstract: A pebbling move removes two pebbles from a vertex and places one pebble on one of its neighbours. For $t \geq 1$, the optimal t -pebbling number of a graph G , $f'_t(G)$, is the minimum number of pebbles necessary so that from some initial distribution of them it is possible to move t pebbles to any target vertex by a sequence of pebbling moves. $f'(G) = f'_1(G)$ be the optimal pebbling number of G . Here the optimal t -pebbling numbers of the path P_n and the cycle C_5 were given, respectively. In the final section, it was obtained that $f'_{9t}(P_2 \times P_3) = 20t$, $f'_{9t+1}(P_2 \times P_3) = 20t+3$, and $20t+2r+1 \leq f'_{9t+r}(P_2 \times P_3) \leq 20t+2r+2$, for $2 \leq r \leq 8$, the last equality holds for $r=5, 6, 7, 8$.

Key words: optimal t -pebbling number; path; cycle; Cartesian product

CLC number: O157.5 **Document code:** A doi:10.3969/j.issn.0253-2778.2015.03.002

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C99; 05C72; 05C85

Citation: Xia Zhengjiang, Pan Yongliang, Xu Junming. Optimal t -pebbling on paths and cycles[J]. Journal of University of Science and Technology of China, 2015, 45(3): 186-192.

路与圈的优化 t -pebbling 数

夏正江, 潘永亮, 徐俊明

(中国科学技术大学数学科学学院, 安徽合肥 230026)

摘要: 图上的一个 pebbling 移动, 是从图的一个顶点同时移除 2 个 pebbles, 并且在其某个邻点上放置 1 个 pebble. 图的优化 t -pebbling 数, 记为 $f'_t(G)$, 是指图 G 中所需要的 pebbled 的最小数目, 使得存在该 $f'_t(G)$ 个 pebbles 在图上的一种分布, 可以在经过一系列 pebbling 移动后, t 个 pebbles 可以移动到任意一个给定的目标顶点上. $f'(G) = f'_1(G)$ 称为图 G 的优化 pebbling 数. 这里给出了路 P_n 和圈 C_5 的优化 t -pebbling 数, 证明了 $f'_{9t}(P_2 \times P_3) = 20t$; $f'_{9t+1}(P_2 \times P_3) = 20t+3$; 当 $2 \leq r \leq 8$ 时, $20t+2r+1 \leq f'_{9t+r}(P_2 \times P_3) \leq 20t+2r+2$, 其中, 当 $5 \leq r \leq 8$ 时, 最后一个不等式取到等号.

关键词: 优化 t -pebbling 数; 路; 圈; 笛卡尔乘积

Received: 2014-11-14; **Revised:** 2015-03-10

Foundation item: Supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the NNSF of China (61272008, 11271348, 10871189).

Biography: XIA Zhengjiang, male, born in 1987, PhD candidate. Research field: combinatorics and graph theory.
E-mail: xzj@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Corresponding author: PAN Yongliang, PhD/associate Prof. E-mail: ylpan@ustc.edu.cn

0 Introduction

Throughout this paper, $G=(V, E)$ denotes a simple, connected graph with n vertices. Let $P_n=u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ and $C_n=u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n u_1$ be the path and the cycle with n vertices, respectively. A function $D: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is called a distribution on the vertices of G . Let $D(v)$ be the number of pebbles on the vertex $v \in V(G)$ ($D_i=D(u_i)$), $|D|$ be the total number of pebbles on $V(G)$ under D . A pebbling move consists of the removal of two pebbles from a vertex and placing one pebble at an adjacent vertex. The optimal t -pebbling number of G , denoted by $f'_t(G)$, is the least p such that, for some distribution of p pebbles on the vertices of G , a pebble can be moved to any vertex by a sequence of pebbling moves. Moreover, $f'(G)=f'_1(G)$ is called the optimal pebbling number of G .

Let $W(D, v)$ be the maximal number of pebbles on v by some (possibly empty) pebbling moves on G from the original distribution D . Then we call v t -reachable under D for some positive integer t if $W(D, v) \geq t$. A distribution D is called t -fold solvable if every vertex is t -reachable under D .

The known results about the optimal t -pebbling number of paths, cycles and the product of paths are given as follows.

Theorem 0.1^[1,3] $f'(P_n)=f'(C_n)=\lceil 2n/3 \rceil$.

Theorem 0.2^[1] $f'_2(P_n)=n+1$.

Theorem 0.3^[4] $f'_{3+r}(P_2)=4t+2r$ if $r < 2$, $f'_{3+r}(P_2)=4t+3$.

The Cartesian product $G \times H$ is defined to be the graph with vertex set $V(G \times H)$ and edge set: the union of $\{(a, v), (b, v) \mid (a, b) \in E(G), v \in V(H)\}$ and $\{(u, x), (u, y) \mid u \in V(G), (x, y) \in E(H)\}$.

Theorem 0.4^[1,4] $f'(P_m \times P_2)=f'(C_m \times P_2)=m$ for $m \geq 2$, except that $f'(P_2 \times P_2)=3$ and $f'(P_5 \times P_2)=6$. If $t=6q+r$,

$$f'_t(K_2 \times K_3) = \begin{cases} 12q & \text{if } r = 0, \\ 12q + 2r + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we will give the optimal t -

pebbling numbers of the path P_n and the cycle C_n . First, we give some lemmas.

Lemma 0.5^[4] $f'_{s+t}(G) \leq f'_s(G) + f'_t(G)$.

For a given distribution D on $V(G)$, assume $d(v)=2$ and $D(v) \geq 3$. A smoothing move from v changes D by removing two pebbles from v , and add one pebble on each neighbour of v .

Lemma 0.6^[1] Let D be a distribution on a graph G with distinct vertices u and v , where $d(v)=2$, $D(v) \geq 3$, and u is t -reachable under D , then u is t -reachable under the distribution D' obtained by making a smoothing move from v .

For more background and related topics of this article, we refer to [1-8].

1 The optimal t -pebbling number of path

First, we give an upper bound of $f'_t(P_n)$.

Theorem 1.1 $f'_{3t}(P_n) \leq t(n+2)$, $f'_{3t+1}(P_n) \leq t(n+2) + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$, $f'_{3t+2}(P_n) \leq t(n+2) + (n+1)$ for $t \geq 1$.

Proof From Lemma 0.5, we have that $f'_{3t}(P_n) \leq t f'_3(P_n)$, $f'_{3t+1}(P_n) \leq (t-1) f'_3(P_n) + f'_4(P_n)$ and $f'_{3t+2}(P_n) \leq t f'_3(P_n) + f'_2(P_n)$, for $t \geq 1$.

Clearly, it is sufficient to show that $f'_3(P_n) \leq n+2$, $f'_4(P_n) \leq n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 3$.

Let $D_1=D_n=2$, and $D_i=1$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then it is a 3-fold solvable distribution on P_n with $|D|=n+2$. Thus $f'_3(P_n) \leq n+2$.

For $t=4$, we use induction on n to prove that there exists a 4-fold solvable distribution D on P_n with $D_n \geq 2$ and $|D|=n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 3$.

If $n=1$, $D_1=4$; $n=2$, $D_1=D_2=3$. So it holds for $n=1, 2$.

Assume that it holds for $n-2$, let D' be a 4-fold solvable distribution on P_{n-2} , so that $D'_{n-2} \geq 2$ and $|D'|=n-2 + \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor + 3$.

Let D be a distribution on P_n such that $D_i=D'_i$ for $i < n-2$, $D_{n-2}=D'_{n-2}-2$, $D_{n-1}=3$, $D_n=2$. It is clear that D is a 4-fold solvable distribution on P_n , $D_n \geq 2$, and

$$|D|=|D'|+3=n-2 + \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor + 3 + 3 =$$

$$n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 3,$$

this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 0.6 shows that a smoothing move on any vertex v with degree 2 keeps a t -reachable vertex $u \neq v$ still be t -reachable, but it does not hold for v itself. The following lemma shows that v may still be t' -reachable for some $t' \leq t$.

Lemma 1.2 Let D be a distribution on P_3 with $W(D, u_i) \geq 3t + r$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, $D_2 \geq t + r + 2 - \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$. If we make a smoothing move on u_2 , then u_2 is at least $(3t + r)$ -reachable.

Proof We only prove the cases $r = 0, 1$. The case $r = 2$ can be proved similarly.

Let $a = D_1, b = D_2, c = D_3$. The distribution, after a smoothing move on u_2 , is denoted by D' . Without loss of generality, we assume that $a \geq c$.

If $a > c + 2$, then we remove two pebbles from u_1 and add them onto u_3 to get D^* . Clearly, we have that $W(D^*, u_i) \geq 3t + r$, and $W(D^*, u_2) = W(D', u_2)$ (D^* is the distribution after a smoothing move on u_2 from D^*).

So we only need to deal with $c \leq a \leq c + 2$.

Case 1 $a = c$.

If a is odd, then

$$W(D', u_2) \geq D_2 - 2 + 2 \lfloor (a + 1)/2 \rfloor = D_2 + 2 \lfloor a/2 \rfloor = W(D, u_2) \geq 3t + r.$$

If a is even, then $W(D, u_3) = \lfloor (a/2 + b)/2 \rfloor + a \geq 3t + r$. Note that $\min\{a + b\}$ can be achieved while b is at its minimum $\min b = t + r + 2$, then $a \geq 2t$. Thus

$$W(D', u_2) = \lfloor a/2 \rfloor + \lfloor a/2 \rfloor + b - 2 = a + b - 2 \geq 3t + r.$$

Case 2 $a = c + 1$. $W(D, u_3) = \lfloor (a/2 + b)/2 \rfloor + a - 1 \geq 3t + r$. Then $\min\{a + b\}$ can be achieved while $b = \min b = t + r + 2$, then $a \geq 2t$.

$$W(D', u_2) = \lfloor (a + 1)/2 \rfloor + \lfloor a/2 \rfloor + b - 2 = a + b - 2 \geq 3t + r.$$

Case 3 $a = c + 2$. If a is odd, then we are done. If a is even,

$$W(D, u_3) = \lfloor (a/2 + b)/2 \rfloor + a - 2 \geq 3t + r.$$

Then $\min\{a + b\}$ can be achieved if $b = \min b = t + r + 2$, then $a \geq 2t + 1$. Moreover,

$$W(D', u_2) = \lfloor (a - 2)/2 \rfloor + \lfloor a/2 \rfloor + b - 2 =$$

$$a + b - 3 \geq 3t + r. \quad \square$$

Corollary 1.3 There exists a $(3t + r)$ -fold solvable distribution with $f'_{3t+r}(P_n)$ pebbles on P_n so that $D_1 \geq 2t + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor, D_n \geq 2t + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor, D_i \leq t + r + 1 - \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ for $1 < i < n$.

Proof We only prove the cases $r = 0, 1$. The case $r = 2$ can be similarly proved.

By Lemma 1.2, we can make a smoothing move on vertex u_i if $D_i \geq t + r + 2$ for $1 < i < n$, and the smoothing moves must be finished. Hence we can make sure that $D_i \leq t + r + 1$. Then if we can move at least $t + r + 1$ pebbles from $P_n \setminus u_n$ to u_n , then there are at least $t + r + 1$ pebbles that can be moved from $P_n \setminus \{u_{n-1}, u_n\}$ to u_{n-1} , and so on. Then the number of pebbles on P_n is at least

$$2t + 2r + 2 + (n - 2)(t + r + 1) + 2t - 1 = nt + nr + n + 2t - 1,$$

which is incompatible with Theorem 1.1. Thus there are at most $t + r$ pebbles that can be moved from $P_n \setminus u_n$ to u_n , but $W(D, u_n) \geq 3t + r$, so $D_n \geq 2t$, and similarly $D_1 \geq 2t$. \square

Lemma 1.4 $f'_{3t+r}(P_n) \leq f'_{3t+r}(P_{n-2}) + 2t + r$ for $t \geq 1$.

Proof Let D' be a $(3t + r)$ -fold solvable distribution with $f'_{3t+r}(P_{n-2})$ pebbles on P_{n-2} so that $D'_{n-2} \geq 2t + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$.

If $2t + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor \geq t + r$, then let $D_{n-2} = D'_{n-2} - t - r, D_{n-1} = t + 2r, D_n = 2t$, and $D_i = D'_i$ for $i < n - 2$.

If $2t + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor < t + r$, we must have that $t = 1, r = 2$. Then let $D_i = D'_i$ for $i < n - 2$,

$$D_{n-2} = D'_{n-2} - 2, D_{n-1} = 3, D_n = 3.$$

It is easy to see that the new distribution D is $(3t + r)$ -fold solvable on P_n with $|D| \leq |D'| + 2t + r$. Therefore this lemma holds. \square

Lemma 1.5 $f'_{3t+r}(P_n) \geq f'_{3t+r}(P_{n-2}) + 2t + r$ for $t \geq 1$ and $r = 0, 1$.

Proof Assume that D is a $(3t + r)$ -fold solvable distribution with $f'_{3t+r}(P_n)$ pebbles on P_n which was provided by Corollary 1.3. Then we let D' be a new distribution such that $D'_i = D_i$ for $i < n - 2$ and $D'_{n-2} = D_{n-2} + D_{n-1} + D_n - 2t - r$.

First we note that

$$D_{n-1} + D_n \geq 2t + r \quad (1)$$

Second we show that

$$W(D', u_{n-2}) \geq W(D, u_{n-2}) \quad (2)$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$D_{n-1} + D_n - 2t - r \geq \lfloor (D_{n-1} + \lfloor D_n/2 \rfloor) / 2 \rfloor \quad (3)$$

Let $a = D_n$, $b = D_{n-1}$. From Corollary 1.3 and its proof, it follows that $a \geq 2t$ and there are at most $t + r$ pebbles that can be moved from $P_n \setminus \{u_{n-1}, u_n\}$ to u_{n-1} , and $W(D, u_{n-1}) \geq 3t + r$, $W(D, u_n) \geq 3t + r$, we have

$$\begin{cases} b + \lfloor a/2 \rfloor + t + r \geq 3t + r \\ \Rightarrow b + a/2 \geq 2t, \\ \lfloor (b + t + r)/2 \rfloor + a \geq 3t + r \\ \Rightarrow b/2 + a \geq 5t/2 + r/2. \end{cases}$$

Let $g = a + b - 2t - r - \lfloor (b + \lfloor a/2 \rfloor) / 2 \rfloor$ (similarly let $g_i = a_i + b_i - 2t - r - \lfloor (b_i + \lfloor a_i/2 \rfloor) / 2 \rfloor$). Then $g = 3a/4 + b/2 - 2t - r + \delta$ for some $\delta \in \{0, 1/4, 1/2, 3/4\}$ and $\min g$ can be reached in a small neighbourhood of

$$\{(a, b) \mid b + a/2 = 2t, b/2 + a = 5t/2 + r/2\} = (2t + 2r/3, t - r/3).$$

If $a = 2t$, $b = t + r$, then $g \geq 0$.

If $a > 2t$, then $\min g$ can be reached along the line $b + a/2 = 2t$. Note that if $a_1 = a_2 + 2$, $b_1 = b_2 - 1$, then $g_1 > g_2$. So we only need to consider $a = 2t + 1$, $b = t$ and $a = 2t + 2$, $b = t - 1$. In both cases, $g \geq 0$.

Therefore, D' is $(3t + r)$ -fold solvable on P_{n-2} . \square

Similarly, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6 $f'_{3t+2}(P_n) \geq f'_{3t+2}(P_{n-2}) + 2t + 2$ for $t \geq 1$.

Proof Assume that D is a $(3t + 2)$ -fold solvable distribution with $f'_{3t+2}(P_n)$ pebbles on P_n .

Let $D'_i = D_i$ for $i < n - 2$ and $D'_{n-2} = D_{n-2} + D_{n-1} + D_n - 2t - 2$.

First we show that $D_{n-1} + D_n \geq 2t + 2$, we know that $D_n \geq 2t + 1$, if $D_n \geq 2t + 2$, then we are done; if $D_n = 2t + 1$, then, similar to the proof in Corollary 1.3, at most $t + 1$ pebbles that can be moved from $P_n \setminus \{u_{n-1}, u_n\}$ to u_{n-1} . But $W(D, u_{n-1}) \geq 3t + 2$, so $D_{n-1} \geq t + 1$.

Second we show that $W(D', u_{n-2}) \geq W(D, u_{n-2})$,

we only need to show

$$D_{n-1} + D_n - 2t - 2 \geq \lfloor (D_{n-1} + \lfloor D_n/2 \rfloor) / 2 \rfloor.$$

Let $a = D_n$, $b = D_{n-1}$, from Corollary 1.3, assume that $a \geq 2t + 1$. For at most $t + 1$ pebbles that can be moved from $P_n \setminus \{u_{n-1}, u_n\}$ to u_{n-1} , and $W(D, u_{n-1}) \geq 3t + 2$, $W(D, u_n) \geq 3t + 2$, they imply that

$$\begin{cases} b + \lfloor a/2 \rfloor + t + 1 \geq 3t + 2 \\ \Rightarrow b + a/2 \geq 2t + 1, \\ \lfloor (b + t + 1)/2 \rfloor + a \geq 3t + 2 \\ \Rightarrow b/2 + a \geq 5t/2 + 3/2. \end{cases}$$

Let $g = a + b - 2t - 2 - \lfloor (b + \lfloor a/2 \rfloor) / 2 \rfloor$ (similarly let $g_i = a_i + b_i - 2t - 2 - \lfloor (b_i + \lfloor a_i/2 \rfloor) / 2 \rfloor$). Then we should prove that $g \geq 0$.

Let $g = 3a/4 + b/2 - 2t - 2 + \delta$ for some $\delta \in \{0, 1/4, 1/2, 3/4\}$. It is not hard to see that $\min g$ can be reached in a small neighbourhood of $\{(a, b) \mid b + a/2 = 2t + 1, b/2 + a = 5t/2 + 3/2\} = (2t + 4/3, t + 1/3)$.

If $a = 2t + 1$, $b = t + 1$, then $g \geq 0$.

If $a > 2t + 1$, then $\min g$ can be reached along the line $b + a/2 = 2t + 1$. Note that if $a_1 = a_2 + 2$, $b_1 = b_2 - 1$, then $g_1 > g_2$. So we only need to consider $a = 2t + 2$, $b = t$ and $a = 2t + 3$, $b = t$. In both cases, $g \geq 0$.

So D' is $(3t + 2)$ -fold solvable on P_{n-2} , and we are done. \square

By Lemmas 1.4 ~ 1.6, we can get the following theorem immediately.

Theorem 1.7 $f'_{3t+r}(P_n) = f'_{3t+r}(P_{n-2}) + 2t + r$, for $t \geq 1$.

From Theorems 1.7 and 0.3, also note that $f'_{3t+r}(P_1) = 3t + r$, we can get the following theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Theorem 1.8} \quad f'_{3t}(P_n) &= t(n + 2), \\ f'_{3t+1}(P_n) &= t(n + 2) + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1, \\ f'_{3t+2}(P_n) &= t(n + 2) + (n + 1), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 1$.

2 The optimal t -pebbling number of cycle

The optimal t -pebbling numbers of C_3 and C_4 were obtained in Ref. [4]. In this section, we will

give the optimal t -pebbling number of C_5 .

A distribution D is smooth if it has at most two pebbles on every vertex of degree 2.

Theorem 2.1 $f'_2(C_n) = n$ for $n > 3$, $f'_3(C_n) = n + 2$.

Proof If $f'_2(C_n) \leq n - 1$, then it is not hard to see that $f'(C_n \times K_2) \leq n - 1$, a contradiction to Theorem 6.6 in Ref. [1], so $f'_2(C_n) \geq n$.

Let D be a distribution on C_n such that $D_i = 2$ if i is odd and $D_i = 0$ if i is even, except for $D_n = 1$ if n is odd. Then D is 2-fold solvable and $|D| = n$, so $f'_2(C_n) \leq n$.

Let D be a distribution on C_n so that $D_1 = D_2 = 2$ and $D_i = 1$ otherwise. Then D is 3-fold solvable and $|D| = n + 2$, so $f'_3(C_n) \leq n + 2$.

Let D' be a distribution on C_n with $f'_3(C_n)$ pebbles such that it has at most two pebbles on every vertex. If all the vertices of C_n are occupied and there exists one vertex u_i with $D_i \geq 3$, then from the upper bound $n + 2$ it follows that $D_j = 1$ for every $j \neq i$, and $D_i = 3$. It is easy to see that it is not a 3-fold solvable distribution. If $D_i = 0$ for some i , then from Lemma 4.4 in Ref. [1] it follows that at most two pebbles can be moved to u_i , a contradiction. Thus $D_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, but no distribution with $n + 1$ pebbles can be 3-fold solvable and hence $f'_3(C_n) \geq n + 2$. \square

Definition 2.2 Assume u is the target vertex, a pebbling move from v to w is greedy if $d(w, u) < d(v, u)$.

Theorem 2.3 $f'_t(C_{2n}) \geq \frac{2^{n+1}nt}{3 \cdot 2^n - 3}$, the equality holds if and only if $(3 \cdot 2^n - 3) \mid t$; $f'_t(C_{2n+1}) \geq \frac{2^{n-1}(2n+1)t}{3 \cdot 2^{n-1} - 1}$, the equality holds if and only if $(3 \cdot 2^n - 2) \mid t$.

Proof We only prove that for even cycle, the case for the odd cycle can be similarly proved.

Let D be a t -fold solvable distribution with $f'_t(C_{2n})$ pebbles on $C_{2n} = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n u_1$. For simplicity, let $a_i = D_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ ($a_{2n+i} = a_i$), \tilde{a}_i be the number of pebbles on u_i after some pebbling moves.

Then we have

$$t \leq W(D, u_i) \leq a_i + (a_{i+1}/2 + a_{i-1}/2) + (a_{i+2}/4 + a_{i-2}/4) + \cdots + (a_{i+n-1}/2^{n-1} + a_{i-n+1}/2^{n-1}) + a_{i+n}/2^n.$$

Adding these $2n$ inequalities, we can get the inequality.

If $t = (3 \cdot 2^n - 3)m$ for some integer m , then we put $2^n m$ pebbles on each vertex, which is a t -fold solvable distribution, so the equality holds.

Conversely, if the equality holds, then for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$,

$$W(D, u_i) = a_i + (a_{i+1}/2 + a_{i-1}/2) + (a_{i+2}/4 + a_{i-2}/4) + \cdots + (a_{i+n-1}/2^{n-1} + a_{i-n+1}/2^{n-1}) + a_{i+n}/2^n = t.$$

This means:

- ① The pebbling moves must be greedy.
- ② In the sequence of pebbling moves, we can not lose any one pebble. In other words, if t pebbles have been moved to u_i , then the number of pebbles left on any other vertex must be 0.

First we prove that a_i is a constant for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n$.

Let $d = \min\{a_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2n\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a_1 = d$. Let $\alpha = a_2 + a_3/2 + \cdots + a_n/2^{n-2}$ and $\beta = a_{2n} + a_{2n-1}/2 + \cdots + a_{n+2}/2^{n-2}$. Then from $W(D, u_{2n}) = W(D, u_2)$, $W(D, u_{2n}) = W(D, u_1)$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta/4 + a_{n+1}/2^{n-1} + d/2 &= \\ \beta + \alpha/4 + a_{n+1}/2^{n-1} + d/2, & \\ d + \beta/2 + \alpha/2 + a_{n+1}/2^n &= \\ \beta + \alpha/4 + a_{n+1}/2^{n-1} + d/2. & \end{aligned}$$

So $\alpha = \beta = 2d - a_{n+1}/2^{n-2} \leq 2d - \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}d$. From

$$\alpha = a_2 + a_3/2 + \cdots + a_n/2^{n-2},$$

it follows that

$$\alpha \geq d + \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}\right]d = 2d - \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}d,$$

where equality holds if and only if $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_n = d$. Again from

$$2d - \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}d \leq \alpha = 2d - a_{n+1}/2^{n-2} \leq 2d - \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}d$$

it follows that $a_{n+1} = d$. In the same way, we know that $a_{n+2} = a_{n+3} = \cdots = a_{2n-1} = a_{2n} = d$.

Now we prove that $2^n | d$.

If $a_i = d$ is odd and u_{i+n+1} is the target vertex, there is at least one pebble on u_i which can not be moved, so $2 | n$.

If $a_i = d = 4k + 2$ and u_{i+n+1} is the target vertex, after all the pebbles are removed from u_i , one of $\tilde{a}_{i+1}, \tilde{a}_{i-1}$ must be odd. So $4 | d$.

If $2^j | d$ for some $j < n$, but then $2^{j+1} \nmid d$, namely, there is some integer k such that $a_i = 2^{j+1}k + 2^j$. Let the target vertex be u_{i+n+1} , then when we move all pebbles off u_s for $i - j < s < i + j$, then one of $\tilde{a}_{i+j}, \tilde{a}_{i-j}$ must be odd, a contradiction. So $2^{j+1} | d$.

From the above argument, it follows that $2^n | d$. Assume that $d = 2^n m$ for some integer m , then $t = (3 \cdot 2^n - 3)m$, and hence $(3 \cdot 2^n - 3) | t$. \square

Now, we give the optimal t -pebbling number of C_5 .

From Theorem 2.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 $f'_t(C_5) \geq 2t + 1$ if $10 \nmid t$, $f'_t(C_5) = 2t$ if $10 | t$.

Let $C_5 = u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 u_5$, $a_i = D(u_i)$. First we give the optimal t -pebbling numbers of C_5 for $2 \leq t \leq 11$, which were obtained by the direct calculation.

Lemma 2.5 For $2 \leq t \leq 11$, the optimal t -pebbling number of C_5 is given in Tab. 1.

Theorem 2.6 The optimal t -pebbling number of C_5 is

$$f'_t(C_5) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } t = 1; \\ 2t, & \text{if } 10 | t; \\ 2t + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof For $t \leq 10$, it follows from Tab. 1.

For $t > 10$, assume that $t = 10n + r$, where $0 \leq r \leq 9$.

If $r = 0$, it follows from Corollary 2.4.

If $r = 1$, then from Lemma 0.5 and Corollary

2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2t + 1 &\leq f'_t(C_5) \leq \\ &(n-1)f'_{10}(C_5) + f'_{11}(C_5) = \\ &20(n-1) + 23 = 2t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So $f'_t(C_5) = 2t + 1$ if $t = 10n + 1$, where $n \geq 1$.

If $r \neq 1$, then from Lemma 0.5 and Corollary 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} 2t + 1 &\leq f'_t(C_5) \leq \\ &nf'_{10}(C_5) + f'_r(C_5) = \\ &20n + 2r + 1 = 2t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So $f'_t(C_5) = 2t + 1$ if $t = 10n + r$, where $r \neq 0, 1$. \square

3 Optimal pebbling on product of paths

In this section, we give the optimal t -pebbling number of $P_2 \times P_3$. Let $D = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \end{pmatrix}$ be a t -fold solvable distribution on

$$P_2 \times P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First we give a lower bound of $f'_t(P_2 \times P_3)$.

Lemma 3.1 $f'_t(P_2 \times P_3) \geq \lceil 20t/9 \rceil$, equality holds if $t \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$.

Proof Let $D = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \end{pmatrix}$ be a distribution on $P_2 \times P_3$ with $f'_t(P_2 \times P_3)$ pebbles. Then we can get $W(1) \doteq a_1 + a_2/2 + a_3/4 + a_4/2 + a_5/4 + a_6/8 \geq t$. For the other 5 vertices, we can get similar inequalities according to pebbling moves.

$$\text{Since } W(1) + W(3) + W(4) + W(6) \geq 4t,$$

$$\frac{15}{8}(a_1 + a_3 + a_4 + a_6) + \frac{3}{2}(a_2 + a_5) \geq 4t \quad (4)$$

Since $W(2) + W(5) \geq 2t$,

$$\frac{3}{4}(a_1 + a_3 + a_4 + a_6) + \frac{3}{2}(a_2 + a_5) \geq 2t \quad (5)$$

(4) \times 2 + (5), we can get

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 \geq 20t/9,$$

Tab. 1 $f'_t(C_5)$ for $2 \leq t \leq 11$

t	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$f'_t(C_5)$	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	20	23
a_1, a_2, a_3	2,0,2	2,2,1	1,3,1	2,2,2	3,3,2	3,3,3	3,4,3	4,4,4	4,4,4	5,4,5
a_4, a_5	0,1	1,1	2,2	2,3	3,2	3,3	3,4	4,3	4,4	4,5

Tab. 2 $f'_t(P_2 \times P_3)$ for $1 \leq t \leq 9$

t	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$\lceil 20t/9 \rceil$	3	5	7	9	12	14	16	18	20
$f'_t(P_2 \times P_3)$	3	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
a_1, a_2, a_3	0,2,0	1,2,1	1,2,1	2,2,2	2,2,2	2,3,2	3,2,3	3,2,3	4,2,4
a_4, a_5, a_6	0,1,0	0,2,0	1,2,1	1,2,1	2,2,2	2,3,2	3,2,3	4,2,4	4,2,4

so $f'_t(P_2 \times P_3) \geq 20t/9$.

If $t \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, then assume $t = 9m$ for some integer m . Let $a_1 = a_3 = a_4 = a_6 = 4m$, $a_2 = a_5 = 2m$, which is a t -fold solvable distribution with $20m$ pebbles, so the equality holds. \square

From direct computation, we can get

Lemma 3.2 $f'_t(P_2 \times P_3) = 2t + 2$ for $2 \leq t \leq 9$.

Proof We use Tab. 2, where the last row is a t -fold solvable distribution D with $f'_t(P_2 \times P_3)$ pebbles on $P_2 \times P_3$. \square

Theorem 3.3 $f'_{9t}(P_2 \times P_3) = 20t$,

$$f'_{9t+1}(P_2 \times P_3) = 20t + 3,$$

and

$$20t + 2r + 1 \leq f'_{9t+r}(P_2 \times P_3) \leq 20t + 2r + 2, \text{ for } 2 \leq r \leq 8,$$

the last equality holds for $r = 5, 6, 7, 8$.

Proof From Lemma 3.1, we have

$$f'_{9t+r}(P_2 \times P_3) \geq 20t + \lceil 20r/9 \rceil.$$

By Lemma 0.5, we know that

$$f'_{9t+r}(P_2 \times P_3) \leq tf'_9(P_2 \times P_3) + f'_r(P_2 \times P_3) = 20t + f'_r(P_2 \times P_3).$$

By Tab. 2, we are done. \square

References

[1] Bunde D P, Chambers E W, Cranston D. Pebbling and optimal pebbling in graphs[J]. J Graph Theory, 2008, 57: 215-238.

[2] Chung F R K. Pebbling in hypercubes[J]. SIAM J Discrete Math, 1989, 2(4): 467-472.

[3] Friedman T, Wyels C. Optimal pebbling of paths and cycles[DB/OL]. arXiv, 2003: arXiv: math/0506076.

[4] Hersovici D, Hester B D, Hurlbert G H. Optimal pebbling in products of graphs [J]. Australasian Journal of Combinatorics, 2011, 50: 3-24.

[5] Hersovici D, Hester B D, Hurlbert G H. t -pebbling and extentions[J]. Graphs and Combinatorics, 2013, 29: 955-975.

[6] Hoffmann M, Matousek J, Okamoto Y. The t -pebbling number is eventually linear in t [J]. The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 2011, 18: 153-156.

[7] Moews D. Pebbling graphs[J]. J Combin Theory, Ser B, 1992, 55: 244-252.

[8] Pachter L, Snevily H S, Voxman B. On pebbling graphs[J]. Congr Numer, 1995, 107: 65-80.